suggestions in several matters and even if no direct benefit is forthcoming in the near future, many benefits might grow from the suggestions.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—Has any actual development been made till now? My question is not regarding the future.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The same thing applies to the past also. Several suggestions of the Committee and other useful things might have been taken by the mass or by the people.

Bridge between Mathilakam and Cranganur.

223. Mr. K. M. Ibrahim.—Will the Government be pleased to state how they have given effect to the assurances given by the Diwan (President) to the Council on the 8th April regarding a bridge connecting Mathilakam with Cranganur.

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Since the question simply refers to 8th April without giving the year, it is not possible to answer it.

Concessions to ex-army men.

224. Mr. K. Mohamed Seethi.—Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether they had notified to give any concession to those who were employed in the Over-sea service;

(b) whether any concessions were given according to the said notification;

(c) if so, to whom; and

(d) what;

(e) whether and how many applications were there for Puduval lands;

(f) how many were given such lands;

(g) what area of the said land is;

(h) why other applicants were not given such concessions.

(i) whether the above-mentioned concessions were subsequently withdrawn;

(j) if so, why

(k) and whether notice was given to those who are enlisted in the army on the assurances of getting these concessions before their withdrawal;

(l) whether these concessions are still in existence in British India?

Rao Sahib Mr. T. V. Kasturi Ranga Ayyar.—(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) and (d) A statement showing the particulars of lands leased to ex-army men on concessional terms in the Taluks of Chittur, Talappalli and Mukundapuram is appended (*Vide Appendix VII*)

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly